



Radiation Therapy	Ta Th an Ev fro 2n Un	ble 1.1 le Stages of the Radia d Equipment Involved ery Patient Nor is the m reference [27], J. Van d ed., J. R. Williams and iversity Press.]	tion Therapy Process. J I in Each Stage. Not All Order of Each Stage A Dyk and K. Mah in <i>Radio</i> D. I. Thwaites (Eds.), 199	Also Shown Are the People the Stages Are Required for Iways the Same. I.Adapted therapy Physics in Practice, 9, by permission of Oxford
	PROCESS STAGE	Issue	EQUIPMENT	Key Staff Support Staff
	DIAGNOSIS and CLINICAL EVALUATION	Tumor pathobiology Staging	Cytology, pathology, imaging, other diagnostic equipment	Radiation Oncologist Disgnostic Radiologist Other Specialists
	THERAPEUTIC DECISIONS	Cure/palliation Treatment modalities	None	Radiation Oncologist
Additional	IMAGING FOR TREATMENT PLANNING	CT, MR, x-ray, ultrasound, SPECT, PET	Diagnostic scanners (CT/MR/Nuclear medicine/ultrasound)	Radiation Oncologist Radiation Therapist/Dosimetrist Diagnostic Technologist Physicist
Physicist functions:	TARGET VOLUME LOCALIZATION	Tumor/normal tissue definition Image segmentation Margins Field shaping	Computer image display station Contouring software	Radiation Oncologist Dosimetrist/Radiation Therapist Physicist
System specification	FADRICATION OF TREATMENT AIDS	Compensators/bolus Immobilization devices Blocks/shields/MLC shaping	Compensator maker Vacuum former for masks Shielding system MLC	Radiation Therapist Mould Room Technologist Dosimetrist Physicist/Radiation Oncologist
Treatment room planning Construction supervision	SIMULATION	Virtual simulation/beam display Treatment verification Confirmation of shields	Simulator CT-simulator Simulator-CT	Radiation Oncologist Radiation Therapist Dosimetrist/Physicist
System purchasing	TREATMENT PLANNING	Selection of technique Computation of dose distribution	Treatment planning system Virtual simulation	Dosimetrist Physicist
System installation	TREATMENT	Optimization Verification of set-up/portal	software Linear accelerator Cobalt-60 machine	Radiation Therapist Dosimetrist
System Quality Audit		imaging Verification of equipment performance Dosimetry checks Record keeping	Brachytherapy afterloading machines Superficial(Orthovoltage machine Intensity modulation capabilities In vivo dosimetry system	Radiation Oncologist Physicist
	PATIENT EVALUATION DURING TREATMENT	Treatment tolerance Tumor response	Diagnostic scanners (CT/MR/Nuclear medicine/ultrasound)	Radiation Oncologist Radiation Therapist/Nurse
Ref: Van Dyke	PATIENT FOLLOW-UP	Tumor control Normal tissue response	Diagnostic scanners (CT/MR/Nuclear medicine/ultrasound)	Radiation Oncologist Nurse



















![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

11

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

in Contrast to Diagnost	for CT Scanning for Radiation Therapy Planning c Scanning			
CT SCANNING FOR RADIATION THERAPY PLANNING	CT SCANNING FOR DIAGNOSIS			
Flat table top • Needed for simulating treatment position • Reduced image quality compared to curved couc	Curved table top • Provides better diagnostic images h			
Laser positioning lights • Necessary for accurate (re)positioning on treatme	Laser positioning lights not needed			
Patient positioning - Dependent on treatment site - Supine vs. prone - Arms up/down	Patient positioning is not critical • Only interested in obtaining best quality image			
Respiratory conditions • Shallow breathing as will occur on treatment • Reduces image quality due to motion	Full breath hold inspiration • Minimizes motion artifacts • Maximizes contrast in lung			
Beam reference marks • Essential for daily patient setup • Should be radioopaque but should not cause im- distortions	Reference marks not needed			
Immobilization/treatment devices • Essential for minimizing uncertainties in setup • Devices must by x-ray transparent	Immobilization not normally needed • Possibly needed for pediatric patients			
Fillable organs • Can cause changes from day to day resulting in of setup reproducibility and possible inaccuracie the planning process	Fillable organs are not of as much concern since ack reproducibility of daily setup is not relevant s in			
Patient size and circle of reconstruction • Missing components of the patient could create problems with respect to dose calculations • Patient outside of circle of reconstruction could reduce image quality	Patient size and circle of reconstruction • Missing parts of patient are not relevant as long as the right region is in the image for diagnosis • Patient outside of circle of reconstruction could reduce image quality	Ref:	Van Dyk	28

Table 5.4 Continued	
CT SCANNING FOR RADIATION THERAPY PLANNING	CT SCANNING FOR DIAGNOSIS
Accurate CT numbers • Essential for accurate dose calculations	Accurate CT numbers • Not as critical for diagnosis since relative abnormalities are important for diagnosis and not absolute CT numbers
Slice thickness • Important for 3-D reconstructions and DRRs	Slice thickness • Important for diagnosis and minimization of partial volume effects
Transmission scans • Useful for determining upper and lower scan limits	Transmission scans <ul> <li>Useful for determining upper and lower scan limits</li> </ul>
Contrast agents • Useful for enhancing distinction between tumor and soft tissue • Could impact dose calculations	Contrast agents • Essential for various diagnostic procedures
Prostheses • Could generate major image artifacts impacting both target localization and dose calculations.	Prostheses • Could generate major image artifacts impacting diagnosis
Scan time • Dependent on number of images required for 3-D reconstructions and DRR calculations • Could require higher tube loading compared to some diagnostic scans	Scan time • Rapid scans minimize image artifacts

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

	Table 3.3	Table 3.3Limitations of PositioningMethods	
	Limitation Methods		
Метнор		Accuracy Lin (mm)	AIT
Laser alignment usin	g skin marks	2.0 - 2.5	
Radiographic alignm	ent		
using anatomy		1.0 - 2.0	
Radiographic alignme	ent using		
point markers		<1.0	
Mechanical positioni	ng of		
indexed patient		<0.25	
Visual image alignme	ent	~1.0	

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)